

brains to Islamic beliefs and the Jews from Israel must belong to some of the most intelligent and artistic people on our Earth.

I think no better example of the superiority of one race over another based on facts, not beliefs, can be found on Earth but of course, the word superiority will stick in the craw of many 'human righters'.

What can we deduce from the actions of the African population, the people who did not leave benign Africa about a thousand centuries ago? Were they less adventurous or had Africa become overpopulated? We don't know but overpopulation would seem doubtful because of today's DNA research of the world's populations that show a small core of purely African origin. As already stated we haven't a clue what the African people did during all that time, 10 000 years ago tribes from the south of the Sahara seem to have begun to herd cattle and ever since these tribes descended southward as the cattle culture depleted the fertility of the pastures. At some stage the Bantu people as they became known, must have encountered the first African inhabitants, the Bushmen or Khoisan and had a lot of difficulty killing these small people who probably were the only people on Earth that lived without destroying their surroundings. These small people had been more inventive than the Bantu during their evolution on the African continent, they understood mechanics and invented a functional bow and arrow while their chemical knowledge furnished them with different poisons. Also they must have been the finest ethologists ever, they could kill without destroying.

The Bantu, however invaded Africa with his cattle as a destructive force and inventions to keep the tribes alive were not needed. Disease wiped out the feeble, boys looked after the cattle and women cultivated and did the farming. The men did some hunting, skirmished with neighbouring tribes, drank beer with the chiefs who, when the occasion presented itself sold some of the youngsters to the Arabs, maybe to the Toubou, and later on to the Europeans. I have tried to find evidence that contradicts the above and I invite you to do the same. Let me know if you find different facts from mine.

As the Bantu weaponry did not include much more than an assegai the tribal warfare was probably not very murderous and gave them trouble to overcome the Bushmen, only their superior numbers managed to chase the small people further to the south and into the desert. Landownership is a notion that seemed not to have bothered African people. Where you were, that was yours or rather your Chief's, when you moved because the soil had become exhausted then your new location became home. It would appear that battles between tribes were not territory inspired but catching women and killing males was the goal to be achieved. For the Khoisan, before they met up with the Bantu or Europeans, the whole world was their oyster and the notion of landownership did not exist.

Racism embodies the sentiments of reproach, hostility, blame, jealousy and hate and major racist emotions exist between blacks and whites and...since when do these emotions exist? My observations are mostly based on South African conditions but I'm well aware what happened in the rest of Africa since 1950. I mention this date because I'm about to tell you that I only write about things that I know and have experienced personally. Very few are the professional writers for whom I have any respect. Why should I, most of them don't know a thing that's worth knowing? They make a living by selling popular columns in pretty prattle